## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

JABARI MATHIEU,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
<b>v.</b>	§	CASE NO.
	§	1:25-cv-01075-SEG
	§	
FRONTIER AIRLINES INC.,	§	
G.A.T. AIRLINE GROUND	§	
SUPPORT, INC., BAGS INC.,	§	
<b>ABC CORPORATIONS 1-3, AND</b>	§	
JOHN DOES 1-3,	<b>§</b>	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

# **DEFENDANT FRONTIER AIRLINES INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

Defendant Frontier Airlines, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Frontier") files this Answer in response to the allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages (the "Complaint") in the above-entitled and numbered cause, and in support hereof respectfully states as follows:

## **PARTIES AND JURISDICTION**

- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 1. belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
  - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 2. 2.

- 3. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 4. belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
- 5. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 6. belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 7. belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
- 8. Defendant admits that 49 U.S.C.S. app. § 1305(a)(1) of the Airline Deregulation Act prohibits state regulation of air carriers relating to rates, routes, or services. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations contained in Paragraph 8.
- 9. Defendant admits this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations by Plaintiff. Defendant further admits that this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Frontier. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations contained in Paragraph 9.
- 10. Defendant admits that venue is proper in this Court and that Defendant Frontier conducts business in Fulton County, Georgia. Defendant is without

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations contained in Paragraph 10.

Paragraph 11 of the Complaint contains a statement of law which 11. requires no response from Defendant.

## **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

- 12. Defendant incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
  - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 13. 13.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 14. belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
- 15. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 16. belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 17. belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
- 18. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint.
- 19. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint.

- 20. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 21. belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of the Complaint.
- 22. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of the Complaint.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 23. belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of the Complaint.

#### **COUNT I**

- Defendant incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth 24. herein.
- Paragraph 25 contains a conclusion of law requiring no response from 25. Defendant.
- 26. Paragraph 26 contains a conclusion of law requiring no response from Defendant.
- Paragraph 27 contains a conclusion of law requiring no response from 27. Defendant.
- 28. Paragraph 28 contains a conclusion of law requiring no response from Defendant.

- 29. Paragraph 29 contains a conclusion of law requiring no response from Defendant.
- Paragraph 30 contains a conclusion of law requiring no response from 30. Defendant.
- 31. Paragraph 31 contains a conclusion of law requiring no response from Defendant.

#### **COUNT II**

- Defendant incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth 32. herein.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 33. belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint.
- Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 34 of the 34. Complaint.
- Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 35 of the 35. Complaint.
- 36. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 36 of the Complaint.
- 37. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 37 of the Complaint.

- 38. Defendant denies the existence of any negligent acts and/or omissions. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations contained in Paragraph 38 of the Complaint.
- 39. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint.
- 40. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 40 of the Complaint.
- Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 41 of the 41. Complaint.

## **COUNT THREE**

- 42. Defendant incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 43. belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 43 of the Complaint.
- 44. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 44 of the Complaint.
- 45. Paragraph 45 contains a conclusion of law requiring no response from Defendant.
- 46. Paragraph 46 contains a conclusion of law requiring no response from Defendant.

- 47. Paragraph 47 contains a conclusion of law requiring no response from Defendant.
- Paragraph 48 contains a conclusion of law requiring no response from 48. Defendant.
- Paragraph 49 contains a conclusion of law requiring no response from 49. Defendant.

#### **DAMAGES**

- Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 50 of the 50. Complaint.
- Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to an award of special 51. damages.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

52. Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

# **FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred inasmuch as Plaintiff's fault in the 53. causation of his claimed injuries was greater than the fault, if any, of Frontier.

# SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's recovery in this action, if any, must be diminished by the 54. amount of Plaintiff's comparative fault.

## THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

55. Any injuries sustained by Plaintiff were as a result of the negligence and/or intentional conduct of entities or persons over whom Frontier had no control.

## FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any amount that Plaintiff's claims, as compensatory damages, are 56. diminished proportionately by the fault of Plaintiff, and the fault of all others who caused or contributed to the harm alleged.

### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 57.

## SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

58. If Plaintiff sustained injuries as alleged, such injuries were the result of intervening and/superseding causes, and not Frontier's alleged acts or omissions.

# SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

59. Plaintiff failed to name a necessary party whose action and/or inactions caused or contributed to Plaintiff's alleged injuries and must be added as a party.

## **EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

60. Plaintiff's claims against Defendant Frontier are barred inasmuch as Plaintiff assumed the risk of his own actions or inactions in causing his own injuries.

## **NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

61. Plaintiff's claims against Frontier are barred by Plaintiff's failure to mitigate his claimed damages from this incident.

#### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

62. Plaintiff's claims may be preempted, either explicitly or implicitly, in whole or in part, by federal law, treaty or statute, including but not limited to the Airline Deregulation Act.

#### **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

63. Plaintiff's claims are subject to the provisions of the Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules for International Carriage by Air as supplemental by Frontier's applicable tariffs, tickets, and conditions of carriage (the "Montreal Convention.")

# TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

64. Plaintiff did not suffer a "bodily injury" as required by Article 17 of the Montreal Convention; therefore, Plaintiff's claims are barred as a matter of law.

## THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

65. Plaintiff has failed to state a cause of action against Frontier pursuant to the Montreal Convention.

DEFENDANT FRONTIER AIRLINES INC. S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S Case No. 1:25-cv-01075-SEG

## FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Pursuant to the Montreal Convention, Plaintiff is not entitled to recover 66. damages for emotional harm that do not arise out of the bodily injury, if any, sustained in the incident alleged in the Complaint.

#### FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

67. Pursuant to the Montreal Convention, Plaintiff is not entitled to recover for a physical manifestation of an emotional injury.

### SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

If damages are awarded to Plaintiff, they should be reduced or offset by 68. any sums advanced by or on behalf of Frontier pursuant to Article 28 of the Montreal Convention.

#### SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

69. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Frontier's acts and/or omissions were not the proximate cause of any injury or harm alleged by Plaintiff.

## EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff possessed pre-existing conditions, and all of his claimed 70. damages did not proximately result from the events complained of in his Complaint.

### **NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

71. Plaintiff's claim for recovery of medical or heath care expenses, if any, is limited to the amount actually paid or incurred by or on behalf of the Plaintiff.

## TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

72. Plaintiff's claim for the recovery of lost wages or lost earnings is limited to, and should presented in the form of, a net loss after reduction for income tax payments or unpaid tax liability.

### TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

- 73. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the applicable Statute of Limitations.
- 74. Frontier reserves the right to add and rely upon such other and further defenses as may become apparent during the discovery of this action and reserves the right to amend its Answer and other pleadings to assert such additional defenses and third-party claims.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant Frontier Airlines, Inc. demands judgment dismissing the above-entitled and numbered cause, along with costs and disbursements, and further, awarding all such other and further relief as the Court shall deem just, equitable, and proper.

Dated: April 24, 2025. Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Arthur J. Park
Arthur J. Park

Defendant Frontier Airlines Inc.'s Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint Case No. 1:25-cv-01075-SEG

Georgia Bar No. 227992 Carley N. Rampy Georgia Bar No. 759192 Counsel for Defendant Frontier Airlines, Inc.

MOZLEY, FINLAYSON & LOGGINS, LLP 1050 Crown Pointe Parkway Suite 1500 Atlanta, GA 30338 Telephone: 404-256-0700

Facsimile: 404-250-9355 apark@mfllaw.com crampy@mfllaw.com

Defendant Frontier Airlines Inc.'s Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint Case No. 1:25-cv-01075-SEG

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I electronically filed the foregoing <u>DEFENDANT</u>

FRONTIER AIRLINES, INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

using the Court's CM/ECF System, which will automatically send a copy of same to the following counsel of record:

Kristina Ducos
The Ducos Law Firm, LLC
600 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2210
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
kristina@ducoslaw.com
Counsel for Plaintiff

I further certify that, pursuant to Rule 5.1(C) of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, I prepared this document in 14-point Times New Roman font and complied with the margin and type requirements of this Court.

This 14th day of April, 2025.

/s/ Arthur J. Park
Arthur J. Park